IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION No.160 of 2007

M/s. Waishram Finance and
Investment Ltd. Applicant.

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents.

Mr J. P. Shahi/b J.P.S.Legal Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr Rajesh More, APP for the Respondent No.1.

Mr V. N. Shingnapurkar, i/b H.N. Associates for the Respondent No.2.

CORAM: V.C. DAGA,J.
DATED: 17.9.2007.

P.C.:-

1. Heard learned counsel appearing for the applicant, learned APP for the Respondent No.1-State and learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2-complainant.

2. Perused impugned order, in the light of affidavit in reply. No case is made out to interfere in the same. The view taken by the Court below is a reasonable and possible view. Needless to mention that question of limitation is mixed question of law and facts that only can be decided after the trial is commenced. So far as the nature of

transaction is concerned, it can also be determined during the trial.

3. In the above view of the matter, no case is made out to entertain this revision application. The same is dismissed with no order as to costs.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the applicant prayed for extension of the interim order passed by this Court dated 30.4.2007; whereby, the Trial Court was directed not to proceed with the trial. I find no merit to continue this order. The prayer is rejected.

5. The revision application is disposed of.

(V.C. DAGA,J.)